LASSP Pizza Talk June 13, 2002

Cellular Automata & Pattern Formation

Siew-Ann Cheong

Prologue

- Not an advertisement for Wolfram...
- Not a Wolfite...
- Rather...
	- **–** P681 Pattern Formation and Spatio-Temporal Chaos/Prof Eberhard Bodenschatz.
	- **–** End-of-course poster. . .
	- **–** . . . and adventures beyond.

Cellular Automata

- A collection of finite state machines. The state of the *i*th machine at time *t* given by $s_i(t) \in \mathcal{A}$, where \mathcal{A} is a finite set, also called the *alphabet*;
- ^A collection of neighborhoods. The neighborhood of the *ⁱ*th machine is denoted by N_i ;
- A dynamical rule $\varphi : N_i \to \mathcal{A}$, such that $s_i(t+1) = \varphi(s_i(t)) \mid j \in N_i$.

Classification of CAs

- Elementary and compound CAs. Examples are Game of Life (GOL) and the Nagel-Schreckenberg model of tra ffi^c flow respectively.
- Wolfram classified all 256 1-D elementary CAs (ECAs) by their dynamical properties. Types I, II and III.
- Wolfram naming convention: if the ECA is

then Wolfram rule number is \sum 7 $\int_{j=0}^{7} \alpha_j 2^j$.

• No known attempts at classifying ECAs of higher dimensions.

From Pattern to ECA

- In P681, given PDE model, find what patterns form spontaneously. Can do the same for CA models.
- Ask the inverse question instead: given a pattern, what are all the possible CAs that spontaneously generate it?
- Two parts to this question:
	- **–** what CA rules will have given pattern as fixed point; and
	- **–** under which CA rules is the pattern stable?

Stripped Phase in 1-D

• Consider stripped phase in 1-D:

• Fixed point requirement implies the transition rules

 \rightarrow X O \times and \odot \bullet O \rightarrow \times \bullet X.

• Does not uniquely determine ECA rule, 6 more transition rules to specify.

Defects in Stripped Phase

- To analyze stability of stripped phase, need to investigate behaviour of departures from pattern, i.e. defects, under various ECA rules.
- Point defects:

[−]1 domain wall +1 domain wall

Strips Stable in Presence of Point Defects

- Since ECA not completely specified, can choose remaining transition rules to stabilize stripped phase in presence of point defects.
- Demand that isolated vacancy 'heals': implies transition rules

$OOO \rightarrow X \bullet X, \bullet OO \rightarrow XOX, \ OOO \rightarrow XOX.$

• Demand that isolated interstitial 'heals': implies transition rules

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet \to \times \bigcirc \times$, $\bigcirc \bullet \bullet \to \times \bullet \times$, $\bullet \bullet \bigcirc \to \times \bullet \times$.

• ECA completely specified by requirements that: (a) stripped phase is fixed point; (b) isolated vacancies 'heal'; and (c) isolated interstitials 'heal'.

Completed ECA Rule

Further Considerations

- **Domain Wall Dynamics.** Both [±]1 domain walls stationary under Rule 77, i.e. if start from random initial configuration, all domain walls initially presen^t will be 'frozen in'.
- **Robustness of Stripped Phase.** By modifying some transition rules in Rule 77, can test genericity of stripped pattern. Found that:
	- **–** Stripped phase *most* stable under Rule 77, but also stable under 6 other ECA rules derived from Rule 77, in which ^a single transition rule is modified.
	- **–** Stripped ^phase *marginally* stable under ⁴ ECA rules derived from Rule 77, in which one or two transition rules are modified.
	- **–** Stripped phase unstable once more than two transition rules are modified from Rule 77. Oscillatory phase nucleates.

2-D ECAs

- In 1-D, neighborhood simple, unless one wants to go to next nearest neighbor.
- In 2-D, greater variety of neighborhoods. Simplest neighborhood for 2-D CA is von Neumann (VN) neighborhood:

• With VN neighborhood, total of $2^5 = 32$ possible local configurations \implies total of $2^{32} = 4,294,967,296$ 2-D ECAs.

$\lambda = 4$, $\nu = +1$ **Traveling Wave Phase**

• A traveling wave phase with $\lambda = 4$ and $v = +1$ looks like

• The traveling wave transition rules are

Multiple Defect Analysis & Transition Rule Conflict

- Unlike in 1-D, point defect analysis alone cannot fully specify ECA. Need to do multiple defect analysis.
- Four types of point defect:

- In this chosen pattern, transition rules implied by V_L conflicts with that implied by V_R , and transition rules implied by I_L conflicts with that implied by *I^R*.
- Generic problem.

Protocol for Conflict Resolution

- When transition rule implied by two configurations in conflict, give precedence to configuration with lower number of defects.
- When transition rule implied by leading edge configuration conflicts with that implied by trailing edge configuration, give precedence to trailing edge configuration.
- Can show that some multi-defect configurations whose implied transition rules are forfeited will still be 'healed'.
- Compromise necessary because traveling wave breaks left-right symmetry.
- Completed CA rule is Rule 2,383,284,874.

Simulating Rule 2,383,284,874

Compound CAs

- Some patterns cannot be achieved using ECAs because conflict resolution protocol used cannot ensure stability of desired pattern.
- What to do?
	- **–** Use larger neighborhoods equivalent to ^a restricted class of compound ECAs.
	- **–** Use larger state space, say $s_i(t) = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$.
- The main idea is to increase the number of transition rules available for pattern matching.
- Another way is to compound together ECAs.

How to Compound ECAs

- Enumerate all defect configurations that can be 'healed' in ^a few time steps.
- For each defect configuration, find the ECA that 'heals', while acting as identity map on other configurations, other than the desired patterned configurations.

$\lambda = 4$, $\nu = +1$ **Traveling Wave Phase in 1-D**

Does It Work?

- Rules 43 and 142 by themselves most readily generate the desired pattern for initial density $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$. Not good away from half-filling.
- Rules 46 and 139 less readily generate desired pattern.
- Compounding $46 + 139$ or $43 + 142$ does not make desired pattern any more stable.
- Reason: competing fixed points. Back to square one need to find fixed points or limit cycles of given ECA.

References

- 1. B. Chopard and M. Droz, Cellular Automata Modeling of Physical Systems, Cambridge University Press, 1998
- 2. R.J. Gaylord and K. Nishidate, Modeling Nature: Cellular Automata Simulations with Mathematica®, Springer-Verlag, 1996