## Appendix F: Anyons

One of the strangest consequences of magnetic vector potentials, introduced in Chapter 5, is that they can influence the statistics of identical particles. In two spatial dimensions—and *only* in 2D—vector potentials can give rise to a class of identical particles known as **anyons**, which act like neither the fermions nor bosons discussed in Chapter 4. Anyons have a form of particle exchange symmetry intermediate between the fermionic and bosonic cases.

## F.1. BOUND FLUX TUBES

The theory of anyons created by vector potentials was developed by Wilczek (1982). He considered a scenario with set of identical particles moving in 2D (the x-y plane), with each particle carrying a "flux tube" pointing along z, as shown in the figure below:



Each flux tube is an infinitely thin concentration of magnetic flux  $\Phi_B$ , which can be described by a singular vector potential (as discussed in Chapter 5, Section I.C). If  $\mathbf{r}_n$  is the center of the *n*-th particle, its vector potential is

$$\mathbf{A}^{(n)}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\Phi_B}{2\pi |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_n|} \,\mathbf{e}_{\phi}^{(n)}(\mathbf{r}),\tag{F.1}$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_{\phi}^{(n)}(\mathbf{r})$  denotes the azimuthal unit vector at position  $\mathbf{r}$  relative to the origin  $\mathbf{r}_n$ . The superscript (n) denotes that this vector potential is centered on the *n*-th flux tube.

Suppose the particles carrying these flux tubes also have electric charge -e. Each particle is acted upon by the vector potentials from all the other particles, which appear in the Hamiltonian according to the prescription

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}_n \to \hat{\mathbf{p}}_n + e \sum_{m \neq n} \mathbf{A}^{(m)}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_n),$$
 (F.2)

where  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_n$  is the momentum operator for particle *n*. The fact that each particle's flux tube does not act on itself is similar to how electrostatic forces are handled (i.e., the electric field generated by a particle does not act on the particle itself). Assuming there are no other potentials and the particles are non-relativistic, the Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{m} \left| \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{m} + e \sum_{n \neq m} \mathbf{A}^{(n)}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{m}) \right|^{2}.$$
(F.3)

We will focus on the case of two particles. In the wavefunction representation,

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \left| -i\hbar\nabla_1 + e\mathbf{A}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}_1) \right|^2 + \left| -i\hbar\nabla_2 + e\mathbf{A}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_2) \right|^2 \right), \tag{F.4}$$

where  $\nabla_n$  (for n = 1, 2) is the gradient operator using partial derivatives on  $\mathbf{r}_n$ . The twoparticle wavefunction  $\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$  obeys either fermionic or bosonic exchange symmetry:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \sigma \psi(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_1), \tag{F.5}$$

where  $\sigma = 1$  for bosons and  $\sigma = -1$  for fermions.

## F.2. GAUGE TRANSFORMATION

In Chapter 5, we discussed the gauge symmetry of a charged particle in an electromagnetic field. For simplicity, take a time-independent vector potential **A** and zero scalar potential. Given a single-particle wavefunction  $\psi(\mathbf{r})$  describing a particle of charge -e, we know that the gauge transformed wavefunction

$$\psi'(\mathbf{r}) = \psi(\mathbf{r}) \exp\left(-\frac{ie\Lambda(\mathbf{r})}{\hbar}\right)$$
 (F.6)

solves the Schrödinger equation with the gauge transformed vector potential

$$\mathbf{A}'(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) + \nabla \Lambda(\mathbf{r}).$$

This symmetry can be generalized to the multi-particle case. For two-particle Hamiltonians of the form (F.4), one can show that the gauge transformed two-particle wavefunction

$$\psi'(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \exp\left(-\frac{ie\Lambda(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)}{\hbar}\right)$$
 (F.7)

solves the Schrödinger equaton for the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}' = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \left| -i\hbar\nabla_1 + e\mathbf{A}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}_1) + e\nabla_1\Lambda \right|^2 + \left| -i\hbar\nabla_2 + e\mathbf{A}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_2) + e\nabla_2\Lambda \right|^2 \right).$$
(F.8)

The derivation is left to the reader, and almost exactly follows the single-particle derivation from Chapter 5. The main thing to note is that  $\Lambda$  is an arbitrary function of  $\mathbf{r}_1$  and  $\mathbf{r}_2$ ; when calculating  $\nabla_1 \Lambda$ , the partial derivatives with respect to  $\mathbf{r}_1$  are taken with  $\mathbf{r}_2$  fixed, and vice versa for  $\nabla_2 \Lambda$ .

We are interested in the case where the  $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$  and  $\mathbf{A}^{(2)}$  fields in Eq. (F.8) are the flux tube potentials of Eq. (F.1). Remarkably, it turns out that such potentials can be cancelled, or "gauged away", by a certain choice of  $\Lambda(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ . The resulting gauge transformed Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H}' = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left( \nabla_1^2 + \nabla_2^2 \right),$$
 (F.9)

describing a pair of free particles!

To find the  $\Lambda(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$  that achieves this, let us take a closer look at how to express the twoparticle coordinates. These can, of course, be written in the Cartesian form  $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)$ . But we can also express them using a mix of center-of-mass coordinates and relative polar coordinates,  $(X, Y, \mathbf{v}, \phi)$ , as shown in this figure:



The two coordinate systems are related by

$$x_1 = X + \frac{\imath}{2} \cos \phi, \qquad x_2 = X - \frac{\imath}{2} \cos \phi, y_1 = Y + \frac{\imath}{2} \sin \phi, \qquad y_2 = Y - \frac{\imath}{2} \sin \phi.$$
(F.10)

From Eq. (F.10), we see that the transformation  $\phi \to \phi \pm \pi$ , with  $(X, Y, \aleph)$  constant, is equivalent to exchanging  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$ . In other words, the particles can be exchanged by a rotation of  $\pm \pi$  around their fixed center of mass. The exchange symmetry condition (F.5) can therefore be written as

$$\psi(X, Y, \mathbf{i}, \phi \pm \pi) = \sigma \psi(X, Y, \mathbf{i}, \phi), \tag{F.11}$$

where  $\sigma = 1$  for bosons and  $\sigma = -1$  for fermions. Note, by the way, that this use of polar coordinates is specific to 2D space.

Now consider the gauge field

$$\Lambda(X, Y, \boldsymbol{i}, \phi) = -\frac{\Phi_B \phi}{2\pi}.$$
(F.12)

We claim that

$$\nabla_1 \Lambda = -\mathbf{A}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}_1) \tag{F.13}$$

$$\nabla_2 \Lambda = -\mathbf{A}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_2), \tag{F.14}$$

which gauges away the vector potentials in Eq. (F.8).

To see why, first consider  $\nabla_1 \Lambda$ . We need to be careful since  $\nabla_1$  is performed with respect to  $\mathbf{r}_1$  for fixed  $\mathbf{r}_2$ , whereas  $\Lambda$  is expressed in Eq. (F.12) using the  $(X, Y, \mathbf{z}, \phi)$  coordinates which are a mix of  $\mathbf{r}_1$  and  $\mathbf{r}_2$ . Let us therefore define the coordinates  $(\mathbf{z}', \phi', x_2', y_2')$ , where  $(\mathbf{z}', \phi')$  are the polar coordinates of  $\mathbf{r}_1$  relative to  $\mathbf{r}_2$ , and  $(x_2', y_2')$  are the Cartesian coordinates of  $\mathbf{r}_2$ . We use primes to avoid mixing up the two sets of coordinates. The unprimed and primed coordinate systems are related by

$$X = x'_{2} + \frac{\varkappa}{2} \cos \phi'$$

$$Y = y'_{2} + \frac{\varkappa}{2} \sin \phi'$$

$$\varkappa = \varkappa$$

$$\phi = \phi'.$$
(F.15)

Using  $(\mathbf{z}', \phi', x_2', y_2')$ , we can express the gradient in polar form as

$$\nabla_1 \Lambda = \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \boldsymbol{\imath}'} \mathbf{e}_{\boldsymbol{\imath}'} + \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\imath}'} \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \phi'} \mathbf{e}_{\phi'}, \qquad (F.16)$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}'}$  and  $\mathbf{e}_{\phi'}$  are the radial and azimuthal unit vectors relative to the origin  $\mathbf{r}_2$ . Using the chain rule, Eq. (F.12), and Eq. (F.15),

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \boldsymbol{\imath}'} = \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial X} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \boldsymbol{\imath}'} + \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \boldsymbol{\imath}'} + \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \boldsymbol{\imath}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\imath}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\imath}'} + \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\imath}'} = 0$$
(F.17)

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \phi'} = \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial X} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \phi'} + \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \phi'} + \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \phi'} + \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \phi'} = -\frac{\Phi_B}{2\pi}.$$
 (F.18)

Plugging this back into Eq. (F.16) and comparing it to Eq. (F.1), we obtain the claimed result Eq. (F.13). We can prove Eq. (F.14) in a similar way by setting up polar coordinates with  $\mathbf{r}_1$  as the origin. Thus, we arrive at the gauge transformed Hamiltonian (F.9).

The gauge transformed two-particle wavefunction is

$$\psi'(X, Y, \mathbf{i}, \phi) = \exp\left(-\frac{ie\Lambda}{\hbar}\right) \psi(X, Y, \mathbf{i}, \phi) = e^{i\xi\phi} \psi(X, Y, \mathbf{i}, \phi),$$
(F.19)

where

$$\xi = -\frac{e}{\hbar} \left( -\frac{\Phi_B}{2\pi} \right) = \frac{\Phi_B}{h/e}.$$
 (F.20)

The quantity h/e in the denominator is the magnetic flux quantum (introduced and discussed in Chapter 5), so  $\xi$  counts the number of magnetic flux quanta carried by each flux tube. Now, when the two particles are exchanged,

$$\psi'(X, Y, \mathbf{i}, \phi \pm \pi) = e^{i\xi(\phi \pm \pi)} \psi(X, Y, \mathbf{i}, \phi \pm \pi)$$
(F.21)

$$= \sigma e^{\pm i \xi \pi} \psi'(X, Y, \mathcal{Z}, \phi). \tag{F.22}$$

Compared to Eq. (F.11), the gauge transformed wavefunction acquires an extra factor of  $\exp(\pm i\xi\pi)$  under exchange. But notice that the value of  $\Phi_B$  is arbitrary; if it is not an integer multiple of h/e, then  $\xi$  is not an integer, and the extra factor is not  $\pm 1$ . In that case, the particles described by the wavefunction  $\psi'$  do not behave like fermions or bosons. Instead, they are an intermediate class of identical particles called *anyons*.

## REFERENCES

 F. Wilczek, Quantum Mechanics of Fractional-Spin Particles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 957 (1982).