## Appendix F: Anyons

One of the strangest consequences of magnetic vector potentials, introduced in Chapter 5, is that they can influence the statistics of identical particles. In two spatial dimensions - and only in 2D-vector potentials can give rise to a class of identical particles known as anyons, which act like neither the fermions nor bosons discussed in Chapter 4. Anyons have a form of particle exchange symmetry intermediate between the fermionic and bosonic cases.

## F.1. BOUND FLUX TUBES

The theory of anyons created by vector potentials was developed by Wilczek (1982). He considered a scenario with set of identical particles moving in 2D (the $x-y$ plane), with each particle carrying a "flux tube" pointing along $z$, as shown in the figure below:


Each flux tube is an infinitely thin concentration of magnetic flux $\Phi_{B}$, which can be described by a singular vector potential (as discussed in Chapter 5, Section I.C). If $\mathbf{r}_{n}$ is the center of the $n$-th particle, its vector potential is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}^{(n)}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{\Phi_{B}}{2 \pi\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{n}\right|} \mathbf{e}_{\phi}^{(n)}(\mathbf{r}) \tag{F.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{\phi}^{(n)}(\mathbf{r})$ denotes the azimuthal unit vector at position $\mathbf{r}$ relative to the origin $\mathbf{r}_{n}$. The superscript ( $n$ ) denotes that this vector potential is centered on the $n$-th flux tube.

Suppose the particles carrying these flux tubes also have electric charge $-e$. Each particle is acted upon by the vector potentials from all the other particles, which appear in the Hamiltonian according to the prescription

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{n} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{n}+e \sum_{m \neq n} \mathbf{A}^{(m)}\left(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{n}\right), \tag{F.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{n}$ is the momentum operator for particle $n$. The fact that each particle's flux tube does not act on itself is similar to how electrostatic forces are handled (i.e., the electric field generated by a particle does not act on the particle itself). Assuming there are no other potentials and the particles are non-relativistic, the Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{1}{2 m} \sum_{m}\left|\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{m}+e \sum_{n \neq m} \mathbf{A}^{(n)}\left(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{m}\right)\right|^{2} \tag{F.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will focus on the case of two particles. In the wavefunction representation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{1}{2 m}\left(\left|-i \hbar \nabla_{1}+e \mathbf{A}^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|-i \hbar \nabla_{2}+e \mathbf{A}^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right) \tag{F.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla_{n}$ (for $n=1,2$ ) is the gradient operator using partial derivatives on $\mathbf{r}_{n}$. The twoparticle wavefunction $\psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}\right)$ obeys either fermionic or bosonic exchange symmetry:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}\right)=\sigma \psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \tag{F.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma=1$ for bosons and $\sigma=-1$ for fermions.

## F.2. GAUGE TRANSFORMATION

In Chapter 5, we discussed the gauge symmetry of a charged particle in an electromagnetic field. For simplicity, take a time-independent vector potential A and zero scalar potential. Given a single-particle wavefunction $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ describing a particle of charge $-e$, we know that the gauge transformed wavefunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(\mathbf{r})=\psi(\mathbf{r}) \exp \left(-\frac{i e \Lambda(\mathbf{r})}{\hbar}\right) \tag{F.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

solves the Schrödinger equation with the gauge transformed vector potential

$$
\mathbf{A}^{\prime}(\mathbf{r})=\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})+\nabla \Lambda(\mathbf{r})
$$

This symmetry can be generalized to the multi-particle case. For two-particle Hamiltonians of the form (F.4), one can show that the gauge transformed two-particle wavefunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}\right)=\psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{i e \Lambda\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{\hbar}\right) \tag{F.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

solves the Schrödinger equaton for the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2 m}\left(\left|-i \hbar \nabla_{1}+e \mathbf{A}^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)+e \nabla_{1} \Lambda\right|^{2}+\left|-i \hbar \nabla_{2}+e \mathbf{A}^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)+e \nabla_{2} \Lambda\right|^{2}\right) \tag{F.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivation is left to the reader, and almost exactly follows the single-particle derivation from Chapter 5. The main thing to note is that $\Lambda$ is an arbitrary function of $\mathbf{r}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{2}$; when calculating $\nabla_{1} \Lambda$, the partial derivatives with respect to $\mathbf{r}_{1}$ are taken with $\mathbf{r}_{2}$ fixed, and vice versa for $\nabla_{2} \Lambda$.

We are interested in the case where the $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{(2)}$ fields in Eq. (F.8) are the flux tube potentials of Eq. (F.1). Remarkably, it turns out that such potentials can be cancelled, or "gauged away", by a certain choice of $\Lambda\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}\right)$. The resulting gauge transformed Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}^{\prime}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m}\left(\nabla_{1}^{2}+\nabla_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{F.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

describing a pair of free particles!

To find the $\Lambda\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}\right)$ that achieves this, let us take a closer look at how to express the twoparticle coordinates. These can, of course, be written in the Cartesian form ( $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}$ ). But we can also express them using a mix of center-of-mass coordinates and relative polar coordinates, $(X, Y, \imath, \phi)$, as shown in this figure:


The two coordinate systems are related by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1}=X+\frac{z}{2} \cos \phi, & x_{2}=X-\frac{z}{2} \cos \phi, \\
y_{1}=Y+\frac{z}{2} \sin \phi, & y_{2}=Y-\frac{z}{2} \sin \phi \tag{F.10}
\end{array}
$$

From Eq. (F.10), we see that the transformation $\phi \rightarrow \phi \pm \pi$, with ( $X, Y, y$ ) constant, is equivalent to exchanging $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$. In other words, the particles can be exchanged by a rotation of $\pm \pi$ around their fixed center of mass. The exchange symmetry condition (F.5) can therefore be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(X, Y, \imath, \phi \pm \pi)=\sigma \psi(X, Y, \imath, \phi) \tag{F.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma=1$ for bosons and $\sigma=-1$ for fermions. Note, by the way, that this use of polar coordinates is specific to 2 D space.

Now consider the gauge field

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(X, Y, \imath, \phi)=-\frac{\Phi_{B} \phi}{2 \pi} . \tag{F.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{1} \Lambda & =-\mathbf{A}^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)  \tag{F.13}\\
\nabla_{2} \Lambda & =-\mathbf{A}^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right) \tag{F.14}
\end{align*}
$$

which gauges away the vector potentials in Eq. (F.8).
To see why, first consider $\nabla_{1} \Lambda$. We need to be careful since $\nabla_{1}$ is performed with respect to $\mathbf{r}_{1}$ for fixed $\mathbf{r}_{2}$, whereas $\Lambda$ is expressed in Eq. (F.12) using the ( $X, Y, \boldsymbol{\imath}, \phi$ ) coordinates which are a mix of $\mathbf{r}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{2}$. Let us therefore define the coordinates ( $\boldsymbol{\ell}, \phi^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}$ ), where ( $\boldsymbol{\ell}, \phi^{\prime}$ ) are the polar coordinates of $\mathbf{r}_{1}$ relative to $\mathbf{r}_{2}$, and $\left(x_{2}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ are the Cartesian coordinates of $\mathbf{r}_{2}$. We use primes to avoid mixing up the two sets of coordinates. The unprimed and primed coordinate systems are related by

$$
\begin{align*}
X & =x_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{z^{\prime}}{2} \cos \phi^{\prime} \\
Y & =y_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{z}{2} \sin \phi^{\prime}  \tag{F.15}\\
\boldsymbol{z} & =\boldsymbol{z}^{\prime} \\
\phi & =\phi^{\prime} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using ( \& $, \phi^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}$ ), we can express the gradient in polar form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{1} \Lambda=\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \boldsymbol{\vartheta}^{\prime}} \mathbf{e}_{\imath^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\imath}^{\prime}} \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \phi^{\prime}} \mathbf{e}_{\phi^{\prime}}, \tag{F.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{\boldsymbol{\imath}^{\prime}}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{\phi^{\prime}}$ are the radial and azimuthal unit vectors relative to the origin $\mathbf{r}_{2}$. Using the chain rule, Eq. (F.12), and Eq. (F.15),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}}=\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial X} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{r}}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}}=0  \tag{F.17}\\
& \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \phi^{\prime}}=\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial X} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \phi^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \phi^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial z} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}{\partial \phi^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \phi^{\prime}}=-\frac{\Phi_{B}}{2 \pi} . \tag{F.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Plugging this back into Eq. (F.16) and comparing it to Eq. (F.1), we obtain the claimed result Eq. (F.13). We can prove Eq. (F.14) in a similar way by setting up polar coordinates with $\mathbf{r}_{1}$ as the origin. Thus, we arrive at the gauge transformed Hamiltonian (F.9).

The gauge transformed two-particle wavefunction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(X, Y, \imath, \phi)=\exp \left(-\frac{i e \Lambda}{\hbar}\right) \psi(X, Y, \imath, \phi)=e^{i \xi \phi} \psi(X, Y, \imath, \phi) \tag{F.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=-\frac{e}{\hbar}\left(-\frac{\Phi_{B}}{2 \pi}\right)=\frac{\Phi_{B}}{h / e} . \tag{F.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $h / e$ in the denominator is the magnetic flux quantum (introduced and discussed in Chapter 5), so $\xi$ counts the number of magnetic flux quanta carried by each flux tube. Now, when the two particles are exchanged,

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{\prime}(X, Y, \imath, \phi \pm \pi) & =e^{i \xi(\phi \pm \pi)} \psi(X, Y, \imath, \phi \pm \pi)  \tag{F.21}\\
& =\sigma e^{ \pm i \xi \pi} \psi^{\prime}(X, Y, \imath, \phi) \tag{F.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Compared to Eq. (F.11), the gauge transformed wavefunction acquires an extra factor of $\exp ( \pm i \xi \pi)$ under exchange. But notice that the value of $\Phi_{B}$ is arbitrary; if it is not an integer multiple of $h / e$, then $\xi$ is not an integer, and the extra factor is not $\pm 1$. In that case, the particles described by the wavefunction $\psi^{\prime}$ do not behave like fermions or bosons. Instead, they are an intermediate class of identical particles called anyons.
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