

# Key Predistribution Schemes and One-Time Broadcast Encryption Schemes from Algebraic Geometry Codes

Hao Chen, San Ling, Carles Padró,  
Huaxiong Wang, Chaoping Xing

Seminar MAS-SPMS-NTU, Singapore, January 2010

# Key Predistribution Schemes (KPS)

A set of **users**  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $|\mathcal{U}| = n$

A **secret key**  $k_P \in K$  for every **privileged subset**  $P \in \mathcal{P} \subseteq 2^{\mathcal{U}}$

A family  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathcal{U}}$  of **forbidden subsets**

Every user  $i \in \mathcal{U}$  receives a **fragment**  $u_i \in U_i$

Every user  $i \in \mathcal{U}$  is able to compute all keys  $k_P$  with  $i \in P$

If  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  is such that  $F \cap P = \emptyset$ ,

the users in  $F$  have **no information** about  $k_P$

We consider **unconditionally secure** schemes

# One-Time Broadcast Encryption Schemes (OTBES)

A set of **users**  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $|\mathcal{U}| = n$

A **secret key**  $k_P \in K$  for every **privileged subset**  $P \in \mathcal{P} \subseteq 2^{\mathcal{U}}$

A family  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathcal{U}}$  of **forbidden subsets**

A **key predistribution phase**, similar to a KPS.

Every user  $i \in \mathcal{U}$  receives a **fragment**  $u_i \in U_i$

In the **broadcast phase**, given a privileged set  $P$

and a **secret message**  $m_P \in K$ ,

a **broadcast message**  $b_P \in B_P$  is publicly broadcast.

Every user  $i \in P$  can obtain  $m_P$  from  $u_i$  and  $b_P$

If  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  is such that  $F \cap P = \emptyset$ ,

the users in  $F$  have **no information** about  $m_P$

**Trade-off** between the length of the fragments  
and the length of the broadcast message

We consider **unconditionally secure** schemes

# A History of Secret Sharing

Shamir (1979)

**Threshold** secret sharing based on **polynomial interpolation**

Brickell (1989)

Generalization of Shamir's scheme based on **Linear Algebra**  
**Non-threshold** access structures

Massey (1993)

Connection between secret sharing and **linear error correcting codes**

Chen and Cramer (2006)

Application of **algebraic geometry codes** to secret sharing  
Linear secret sharing over constant size fields

# A Similar History of KPS and OTBES

Blom 1984, Fiat & Naor 1993, BDHKVY 1992, BFS 1996  
**Polynomial** constructions of KPS and OTBES

PGMM 2002, 2003

A more general construction based on **Linear Algebra**

In this work

**Linear error correcting codes** and,  
specifically, **AG codes** are applied to KPS and OTBES

# Applications of KPS and OTBES

The obvious application of KPS and OTBES is key distribution

Since we are requiring unconditional security, the schemes cannot be very efficient (**lower bounds**)

Much more efficient **computationally secure** solutions

Nevertheless, the schemes in **Blom 1984** and **BDHKVY 1992** have been proposed for key distribution in **wireless sensor networks**

In the previous proposals, the size of the secret keys depends on the number of users

# Polynomial Constructions of KPS

The  $(t, w, n)$ -KPS proposed in **BDHKVY 1992** is as follows

**Privileged subsets:**  $P \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  with  $|\mathcal{U}| = n$  and  $|P| = t$

**Forbidden subsets:**  $F \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  with  $|F| \leq w$

The **secret keys** are taken from  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q \geq n$

**Public values**  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{F}_q$

A random **symmetric polynomial**  $f(x_1, \dots, x_t)$  on  $t$  variables and **degree at most  $w$**  on each variable

The **fragment of user  $i \in \mathcal{U}$**  is the polynomial  $f(s_i, x_2, \dots, x_t)$

The **secret key** for a privileged set  $P \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  is  $k_P = f(s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_t}) \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

The length of every fragment is

$$\binom{t+w-1}{t-1} \log q \geq \binom{t+w-1}{t-1} \log n$$

**Optimal information rate**, but the length of the fragments grows with the number of users

The previous construction is **linear**

A general framework for **linear KPS** was introduced in **PGMM 2002**

We need linear mappings

- $\pi_i: E \rightarrow E_i$  for every  $i \in \mathcal{U}$
- $\pi_P: E \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  for every privileged subset  $P$

such that

- $\sum_{i \in P} \ker \pi_i \subseteq \ker \pi_P$  for every privileged subset  $P$
- $\bigcap_{j \in F} \ker \pi_j \not\subseteq \ker \pi_P$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $F \cap P = \emptyset$

That is,

$$\bigcap_{j \in F} \ker \pi_j \not\subseteq \sum_{i \in P} \ker \pi_i \text{ if } F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } F \cap P = \emptyset$$



# Linear KPS

In particular, a proposal of  $(t, w, n)$ -KPS

**Privileged subsets:**  $P \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  with  $|\mathcal{U}| = n$  and  $|P| = t$

**Forbidden subsets:**  $F \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  with  $|F| \leq w$

The **secret keys** are taken from  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q \geq t$ .

**Public vectors**  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V = \mathbb{F}_q^k$

Every subset of  $w + 1$  vectors is linearly independent

A random **symmetric  $t$ -linear map**  $T: V^t \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$

The **fragment of user  $i \in \mathcal{U}$**  is the **symmetric  $(t - 1)$ -linear map**

$$T_i = T(v_i, *, \dots, *)$$

The **secret key** for a privileged set  $P \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  is  $k_P = T(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_t}) \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

The length of every fragment is

$$\binom{t + k - 2}{t - 1} \log q$$

# Linear KPS and Linear Codes

## Linear $(t, w, n)$ -KPS

The length of every fragment is

$$\binom{t+k-2}{t-1} \log q$$

It does not seem to depend on the number of users

The only restrictions are  $q \geq t$

and of course, the existence of vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V = \mathbb{F}_q^k$  such that **every subset of  $w + 1$  vectors is linearly independent**

That is, a **linear  $(t, w, n)$ -KPS** is obtained from every  **$[n, k]$  linear code  $C$**  with  **$d^\perp \geq w + 2$**

The vectors  $v_i$  are the columns of a generator matrix of  $C$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & \uparrow & \cdots & \uparrow \\ v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \cdots & \downarrow \end{pmatrix}$$

# KPS with Constant Size Keys

By using this connection between linear KPS and linear codes, we obtain families of linear  $(t, w, n)$ -KPS with

- fixed base field  $\mathbb{F}_q$
- fixed  $t$  with  $2 \leq t \leq q$
- arbitrarily large  $n$
- $w = cn$  for some constant  $c$  with  $0 < c < 1$
- the length of the fragments is asymptotically better than the KPS obtained from **BDHKVY 1992**

# KPS from Codes on the Gilbert-Varshamov Bound

By the **Gilbert-Varshamov bound**, there exist  $[n, k_n, d_n]$  linear codes with  $d_n \geq cn + 2$  and  $k_n \geq (1 - \alpha)n$  for large enough  $n$ .

The KPS constructed from the dual codes have fragment length at most  $\binom{t + \alpha n - 2}{t - 1} \log q$

By using **BDHKVY 1992**,  $\binom{t + cn - 1}{t - 1} \log n$

# KPS from Algebraic Geometry Codes

By using algebraic geometry codes

## Theorem

*X a curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , genus  $g$  and  $N$  rational points*

*Positive integers  $t, w, n$  with  $2 \leq t \leq q$  and  $2g + w < n \leq N - 1$*

*Then there exists a  $(t, w, n)$ -KPS with fragment bit length*

$$\binom{t + w + g - 1}{t - 1} \log q$$

## Proof

In those conditions, there exists a linear code  $C$

with dimension  $k = g + w + 1$

and dual minimum distance  $d^\perp \geq m - 2g + 2 = w + 2$ .

# KPS from Algebraic Geometry Codes

By taking the family of curves by **Garcia and Stichtenoth 1996**

For every  $j, t, w$  with  $2 \leq t \leq q$  and  $2q^j + w < (q-1)q^j - 1$ ,

$(t, w, n)$ -KPS over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  with  $n = (q-1)q^j - 1$

and fragment bit-length at most

$$\binom{t+w+q^j-1}{t-1} 2 \log q \leq \binom{t+w+\frac{n}{q-1}}{t-1} 2 \log q$$

# Comparison with Blom's KPS

We compare the previous KPS from Algebraic Geometry codes to the ones from **BDHKVY 1992** in the case  $t = 2$  (**Blom 1984**) and  $w = cn$  with  $0 < c < 1 - 2/(q - 1)$

## Our construction

$(2, w, n)$ -KPS over a fixed base field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  with fragment bit-length at most

$$\left(w + \frac{n}{q-1} + 2\right) 2 \log q$$

## Blom's KPS

The fragment bit-length of a  $(2, w, n)$ -KPS is at least

$$(w + 1) \log n$$

Our KPS has smaller fragment length if

$$j \geq 2 \left(1 + \frac{2}{c(q-1)}\right).$$

# OTBES with Constant Size Messages

The OTBES proposed in **BFS 1996** are a combination of KPS and **ramp secret sharing schemes**

By combining the previous construction of KPS from AG codes with the construction of OTBES in **BFS 1996**, we obtain OTBES in which the length of the secret message does not depend on the number of users

We could not obtain similar results from other constructions of OTBES as **Stinson & Wei 1999**